

NOTES

Meeting: Corsham Community Area

Transport Group (CATG)

Date: Wednesday 7 June 2017

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Date of meeting: 7th June 2017			
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	<p>Attendees:</p> <p>Apologies:</p>	<p>Spencer Drinkwater Wiltshire Council Richard Rogers Wiltshire Council Simon Scott Corsham Town Council Cllr Phil Whalley Wiltshire Council Cllr Ruth Hopkinson Wiltshire Council Kate Wysocki Wiltshire Council Dave Wright Box Parish Council Rod Taylor Corsham Town Council Paul Bollen Wiltshire Council</p> <p>Stuart Gregory Lacock Parish Council Ben Anderson Wiltshire Council</p>		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The notes of the last meeting held on 15 th February 2017 were accepted as a true record.		
3.	Financial Position			
		The current financial situation is attached at the end of this report		
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	Skynet Drive	A legal document which includes a plan of the route, is required to be completed before the barrier can be installed. The recommendation from Wiltshire Council and originally accepted by the MOD were for improvements at Bradford Road to increase visibility. These would need to be reflected in the plan. it does not seem that these improvements will now occur.	Action – Spencer Drinkwater to send Ruth Hopkinson an email of what the situation is and what is required. Ruth will then follow this up.	SD
b)	<p>Issue No: 4482 Devizes Road, Box</p> <p>Installation of white gates. The Box Parish Council would like to see this funded by CATG.</p>	Work has been completed bar some final signing which Kate Wysocki is dealing with.	Action – Keep on the agenda until the entire work has been completed	KW

Page 4

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Page 6

c)	<p>Issue No: 4364 Hither Way Lacock</p> <p>Dangerous crossing across Hither Way from National Trust visitor car park into Lacock.</p>	<p>Cost estimate is approx. £55k. NT have confirmed they will contribute £25k towards the construction of this project. CATG group to consider the feasibility of funding remaining cost of £30k.</p> <p>There was a discussion around who should fund the project. It was agreed to approach Lacock Parish Council to fund 25% of the remaining balance of £30k.</p>	<p>Action – Approach PC for 25% of remaining balance (£7,500 minus £500 they have already paid)</p>	KW
d)	<p>Area Board Issue 4965 Corsham, Leafield Industrial Estate</p> <p>Concerns regarding HGVs turning left out of the northern junction of the industrial estate and contravening 7.5T weight restriction</p>	<p>This issue has been addressed and can be removed from the issue system</p>	<p>Action – Close the issue</p>	RR
e)	<p>Area Board Issue 4773: Abbey Road, Lacock</p> <p>Replacement of temporary signs</p>	<p>This has been completed and can be closed on the issues system</p>	<p>Action – close the issue</p>	RR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

f)	<p>Area Board Issue 4911: Pickwick, Corsham</p> <p>Various traffic management requests.</p>	<p>A discussion about the effectiveness of white gates was had and it was agreed to bring any evidence to the next CATG meeting for information.</p> <p>The TC reported that they would not provide the 25% of the costs. However, they are not opposed to the project.</p> <p>It was agreed that unless 25% contribution of the funding can be found then the project can't take place</p>	<p>ACTION – Bring any evidence on effectiveness of white gates to next CATG</p> <p>ACTION – Speak to Pickwick Association and update them on the situation</p>	<p>KW</p> <p>RH</p>
g)	<p>Area Board Issues: Various traffic management requests around Lacock</p>	<p>Lacock Parish Council is concerned with the amount of traffic that comes through the village particularly as a result of the surrounding development. A report has been carried out and the question was asked of how this should be taken forward.</p> <p>The first request is the installation of white gates with consideration later of a 20mph limit. The CATG agreed to recommend funding the White Gates location survey.</p> <p>Preliminary design drawings have been put together with the cost estimates for each possible site:</p> <p>Site 1 (Melksham Road) - £3,500 Site 2 (Cantax Hill) - £3,500 Site 3 (Hither Way) - £2,000 Site 4 (Hither Way East) - £2,000 Site 5 (Bowden Hill) – 3,000</p> <p>The Parish Council is to consider the survey material and report back to the CATG</p>	<p>ACTION – This issue to be carried over to the next meeting when more information is known and the Parish Council has discussed it</p>	<p>KW / RR</p>

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Page 6

5.	Other Priority Schemes		
a)	Issue 4105 HGV's Speeding on A365 Box	Survey results are expected in June so this issue will be discussed at next CATG meeting	Action – Put on the next CATG agenda KW
b)	<p>Area Board Issue 4820: The Stoneworks, Neston, SN13 9YJ</p> <p>Request for amendment to street nameplate.</p>	<p>Request for issues relating to street name plates which should be dealt with through the Area Office.</p> <p>SD has started to investigate the issue and will report back to next meeting.</p> <p>The TC discussed the issue and agreed that it was not the responsibility of them or Wiltshire Council but the responsibility of the residents who should be expected to pay for it.</p>	<p>Action – Further investigate the issue SD</p> <p>Action - Cost up the signs PB</p>
c)	<p>Area Board Issue 5118: Church Rise, Neston</p> <p>Road safety issues near to Neston Primary School.</p>	<p>Neston Primary School have been in touch with the School Travel Plan Advisor and will be updating their Travel plan to apply for funding through the TAOSJ process in due course.</p> <p>This is not a CATG issue and is now being dealt with through the Travel Plan process. It can be closed</p>	<p>ACTION – Inform the school and close the issue RR</p>

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>d)</p>	<p>Area Board Issue 5046: Gastard Lane, Gastard</p> <p>Request for weight limit on Gastard Lane from C153 to Thingley.</p>	<p>Request for weight restriction along Gastard Lane from C153 to where the lane forks at Thingley and to join the Corsham/Lacock Road.</p> <p>The road is very narrow and it is unlikely that anyone can speed along it or that many HGV's would choose to use it. A metro count could be considered but there is no obvious place to safely attach it.</p>	<p>Action – Put in a metro count if a possible location is available</p>	<p>SS</p>
<p>e)</p>	<p>Area Board Issue 5020: Coppershell Road, Gastard</p> <p>Request for extension of 30mph speed limit and weight limit.</p>	<p>Request for 30mph signs to be erected at junction of B3353/Coppershell Road, Street lit restriction therefore no repeater signs are allowed in the regulations. Request for extension of 30mph limit on Coppershell Road.</p> <p>Request for weight restriction on Coppershell Road to stop HGVs using it as a cut through.</p> <p>Being considered alongside issue 5046.</p> <p>Metro count has come back showing no evidence of speeding and no further action can be taken. Only 6% of the vehicles are HGV and signs are in right place.</p>	<p>Action – Issue can now be closed</p>	<p>RR</p>

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Page 11

<p>f)</p>	<p>Area Board Issue 5273: Alcombe, Colerne</p> <p>Request for improved signage to deter lorries from using lane through Alcombe to get to Colerne</p>	<p>Resident's concerned over HGVs using lane through Alcombe to get to Colerne (Octavian) at Colerne Hangers – recent incident outside Stable Cottage, Alcombe as well as similar incidents in the past. Request for improved signage on A4 to deter HGV's from using this lane.</p> <p>Box PC, Colerne PC and Octavian met on 17th March 2017 to discuss this issue.</p> <p>The Parish Council have spoken to Alcombe. Advisory signage along this route has now been checked and Wiltshire Council are satisfied that it is adequate to direct HGV traffic. BANES Traffic Management Team have been approached and they have confirmed they will review the signage within their boundary.</p> <p>There is nothing more that can be done and the PC will speak to the residents</p>	<p>Action – Issue can be closed</p>	<p>RR</p>
<p>g)</p>	<p>Area Board Issue 5145: Doncombe Lane / C151, Colerne</p> <p>Safe crossing point & speed limit reduction near Colerne Primary School</p>	<p>Request for safe crossing point along Doncombe Lane and pedestrian crossing on C151 at existing traffic island and near to Luckham Park. Reduction of speed limit to 30mph on C151 & Doncombe Lane.</p> <p>This needs to be considered by the school and be reflected if necessary in their travel plan.</p> <p>Colerne Primary School have been in touch with the School Travel Plan Advisor and are currently updating their travel plan as well as setting up a working group involving parents. The school will apply for funding through the TAOSJ process in due course.</p>	<p>Action – Issue can be closed and school informed of what the situation is.</p>	<p>RR</p>
<p>6.</p>	<p>New Requests / Issues</p>			

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

a)	Area Board Issue 5295: Pool Green, Neston	Pool Green has a one way system with no entry signage at one end. However, a number of drivers are still driving this route in the wrong direction causing a safety issue.	ACTION – Signage to be checked and if all is correct it is an enforcement issue	KW
	Vehicles driving the wrong way along a one way road.	To be discussed at meeting.		
b)	Area Board Issue 5291: 7.5t weight restriction in Lacock Village HGV vehicles and large farm vehicles using this route in contravention of existing weight restriction	HGV and farm traffic using the roads through Lacock which are subject to a 7.5t weight restriction. Request to improve signage for the weight restriction and erect additional signs to warn of forthcoming restriction on A350. The weight restriction signs cannot be relocated without amending the TRO. If any signage is to be moved then the order would have to be also altered.	Action – Research what is feasible regarding improving signage on A350	KW
c)	Area Board Issue 5290: Greenhill/Westwells junction, Neston Request for improved visibility at this junction.	Junction of Greenhill and Westwells currently has poor visibility as vehicles emerge from the Greenhill direction. Request for improved visibility in both directions from Greenhill junction. For information purposes. This issue has been passed to the Area Office to look at clearing the vegetation around this junction to improve visibility. No action is required and the issue can be closed	Action – Close the issue as it is being dealt with by the Area Office	RR
d)	Area Board Issue 5277: Ladbrook Lane/B3353 junction, Corsham Request for improved visibility at this junction.	Junction of Ladbrook lane with B3353 currently has poor visibility as vehicles emerge from Ladbrook Lane direction. Request for junction improvements. Unless there is a cost effective solution then the property and amenities committee on the Town Council will not support any action. The advice is that any solution will be very expensive	Action – Look at cutting back vegetation and then close the issue	PB

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

e)	<p>Area Board Issue 5258: Middlehill/A4 Bath Road, Box</p> <p>Request for signage for ByBrook House Nursing Home.</p>	<p>Request for signage to Bybrook House Nursing Home before the turning for Middlehill from the A4 Bath Road, Box.</p> <p>Box Parish Council will not pay for this as it is a private property issue. Middle Hill is signed from the A4 and WC do not support over cluttering of signs.</p>	<p>Action – Advise them to refer to Middlehill sign and then close the issue</p>	RR
7.	Other items			

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>a)</p>	<p>Re-location of 2 bus shelters from Westwells Road</p>	<p>As a consequence of the location of the new pedestrian crossing designed by our consultant Atkins and due to be installed Autumn 2017, there are 2 bus shelters which require removal. They are in good condition and it is considered they could be relocated elsewhere in Corsham area.</p> <p>This was discussed to determine if the group wish to fund the relocation of these shelters or they will be removed and put into storage when the formal crossing is constructed.</p> <p>The Town Council would need to decide if they wish to take them on including the future maintenance.</p> <p>Costings are required in order for the Town Council and CATG to discuss whether they wish to take them on. A possible location is the Campus.</p>	<p>ACTION – Provide more information including estimated costings for the Town Council to discuss.</p>	<p>KW</p>
-----------	--	---	---	-----------

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>b)</p>	<p>Beechfield Road parking issue.</p> <p>Concerns raised regarding parking along Beechfield Road outside the Community Campus parking entrance. Problems have been reported of near misses on the corner.</p>	<p>Issue discussed with Network Management and it is recommended the most appropriate action would be additional waiting restrictions in this area. This can be incorporated within the Corsham Town Review which is currently ongoing. Suggested TC/Local Member undertake consultation with affected businesses/properties before this is taken further.</p> <p>It was agreed that this is an issue but the only option would be waiting restrictions but these are not without challenges. Corsham TC confirmed this with Network Management and it has been added to the Corsham Review.</p> <p>This scheme for double yellow lines is being drawn up with the Town Council for a TRO. Keep issue open to the work is complete.</p> <p>Review formal advertisement period is 16th June – 10th July 2017.</p> <p>Keep on agenda</p>		
-----------	---	--	--	--

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

AOB	<p>Issue 5301 – Beech Road/A4 Junction. The speed limit on the A4 is 50mph</p> <p>Issue 5305 – Woodland Adventurers / Boxfields Junction</p>	<p>The Beech Road access is constrained by adverse road positioning with Beech Road running parallel with the A4. This causes produces potential 'blind spots' with traffic moving up the hill from the direction of Box. It is the view of Box PC that the installation of a metro count on the A4 will not contribute to the resolution of what is essentially a traffic visibility issue. Box PC is unable to support the metro count.</p> <p>There are two issues tied into this topic. The first is the speed of vehicles approaching the crossroads adjacent to Woodland Adventurers (Boxfields/White Ennox Lane). The second is the parking of Woodlands Adventurers Customers vehicles on Boxfields. The combined issues represent a considerable traffic hazard which would benefit from a metro count which the PC will complete. This issue is aligned with 5353 as the White Ennox/Boxfields is used as a 'rat run' by vehicles seeking to avoid the traffic congestion on the Bradford Road caused by the severe congestion on Westwells.</p>	<p>Action – Close the issue</p> <p>Action – submit a metro count request</p>	<p>RR</p> <p>DW</p>
------------	--	--	--	---------------------

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Issue 5353 – Traffic congestion at peak times on Westwells Road</p> <p>Date of Next Meeting</p>	<p>This issue is centred firmly on the volume of traffic entering MOD Corsham at the peak period 0800-0900 hours. Noting that the congestions ripples back onto the Bradford Road, Park Lane, and Leafy Lane. Box PC recommend a metro count on Westwells Road and the Bradford Road.</p> <p>Wednesday 23rd August. 10am</p>	<p>ACTION: joint note from Corsham/Box to MOD Corsham requesting a more proactive traffic management system.</p>	<p>DW</p>
--	---	---	---	-----------

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Corsham Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Kate Wysocki

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Corsham Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Corsham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of approximately £

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

6.1. There are no safeguarding issues

7. Recommendations to Corsham Area Board

7.1. There are no recommendations to Corsham Area Board

Corsham CATG
FINANCIAL SUMMARY

BUDGET 2017-18

CATG Allocation 2017-18 £10,951.00

2016-17 Underspend £25,490.71

Contributions

Box PC - Village Gates £1,154.00 Invoice not yet sent

Corsham Town Council - Leafield Ind. Estate £275.00 Invoice sent

National Trust for removal of Lacock experimental access signs £374.25 Invoice sent

National Trust for Hither Way Zebra Crossing £25,000.00 Contribution agreed 30/5/17

Lacock Parish Council for Hither Way Zebra Crossing £7,000.00 Yet to be agreed 7/6/17

Total Budget 2017-18 £70,244.96

Commitments 2016-17

A365 Box Village gates £4,617.49 Estimate

Corsham Leafield Industrial estate improved weight limit signs £1,099 Actual

Lacock, Hither Way design £1,500 Actual

New Schemes 17-18

Pickwick eastern end village gates £5,750 Estimate

Lacock The village removal of experimental access signs £1,497 Actual

Lacock , Hither Way Zebra Crossing £55,000 Estimate

Lacock Village gates £3,500 approx. per location (total 5 sites)

Total Spend / Commitments 17-18 £72,963.28

Remaining Budget 2017-18 -£2,718.32

This page is intentionally left blank